

# WHY POS DATA MATTERS FOR **DISTRIBUTORS** WORKING WITH **INDEPENDENT REPS**

## Unlocking Visibility, Efficiency, and Market Growth

### Ensures Reps Are Paid Accurately

- *Guarantees reps are credited for actual sell-through, not just sell-in*
- *Reduces commission disputes or manual reconciliation*
- *Limits time spent resolving 'who gets credit' issues*

#### Why it matters:

*Fewer headaches. Faster resolutions*

### Strengthens the Distributor-Rep-Manufacturer Partnerships

- *Demonstrates transparency and commitment to joint growth*
- *Enables better coordination on market strategies*
- *Builds trust across all parties*

#### Why it matters:

*Strong data sharing = strong relationships*

### Drives Targeted Support & Selling Efforts

- *Clarifies what's selling and where*
- *Identifies lagging sales and neglected accounts*
- *Helps reps direct training and promotions effectively*

#### Why it matters:

*Reps become more strategic and valuable to your bottom line*

### Strengthening the Independent Channel

- *Tracks market share by geography, segment, or product line*
- *Grounds rep recommendations in real data*
- *Supports strategic planning and forecasting*

#### Why it matters:

*More data = better decisions = faster growth*

**Bottom Line:** Sharing POS data isn't just about commissions – it's a strategic enabler. Distributors who share it with both their reps and manufacturers build stronger partnerships, get better field support, and ultimately sell more with less friction.

# POINT OF SALE (POS) INITIATIVE

## KEY HIGHLIGHTS OF THE STUDY:



Distributors agreed reps should be compensated for the work on their behalf.



Reps were focused on "where" material was sold, not who the material was sold to as they are familiar with their marketplace, key customers and key projects.



Most manufacturers desire to compensate their reps for POS activity regardless of where the product is shipped, including instances where it goes through central or out-of-territory distribution centers.



There are challenges in collecting and submitting the data given a plethora of formats. Additionally, there are challenges in the desired format to send and issues relating to timeliness of submission.



Essentially, there are no industry standards to submit basic transactional information to support calculating rep compensation when it travels through a central/regional distribution center or is shipped into another state.

Manufacturers adhered to contractual agreements and continued to compensate their reps to the best of their abilities, however—due to changes of the distributor and end-customer manufacturers need information at the zip code level to accurately compensate their reps. Most distributors recognized the issue, agreed that reps should be compensated for supporting them. This baseline data became the basis for the NEMRA POS Minimum Reporting Standards. To date, 52 manufacturers (and respective divisions), as well as over 1,250 distributor locations have endorsed the standards.

*The following are the recommended fields for reporting point of sale.*

Column	Field
1	Transaction Date
2	Selling Brand #
3	Bill to
4	Bill to Branch Name/City
5	Shipping to Branch
6	Shipping to Branch Name/City
7	Selling Branch Zip Code
8	Selling Branch Zip Code

Column	Field
9	Customer Zip Code
10	Manufacturer Catalog #
11	UPC Code
12	Manufacturer SKU #
13	Distributor Unit Cost
14	Quantity (# of Units Sold)
15	Unit of Measure
16	Extended Net Price